IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

FRANK L. RUSNAK, :

Case No. 1:04CV313

Plaintiff :

District Judge Susan J. Dlott

v. :

ORDER

DOLLAR GENERAL CORP., INC.,

:

Defendant. :

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion in Limine to Exclude Employment Information Regarding Decision Makers and Managers After Plaintiff's Termination (doc. 71) and Defendant's Response thereto (doc. 97); Defendant's Motion to Strike Kathleen Guion From Plaintiff's Final Witness List (doc. 81), Defendant's Motion in Limine Concerning Dollar General's 2005 Investigation (doc. 83), and Plaintiff's Opposition thereto (doc. 87); Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Trial Witnesses (doc. 98); and Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Motion in Limine Concerning Plaintiff's Mitigation of Damages (doc. 110).

Plaintiff's Motion in Limine to Exclude Employment Information Regarding Decision

Makers and Managers After Plaintiff's Termination is GRANTED, except to the extent that the

Defendant is entitled to submit to the jury by deposition transcript designation that certain

individuals were no longer employed by Dollar General at the time of their deposition testimony.

In the alternative, should the parties agree to stipulate to the occurrence and date of the

witnesses' terminations, Defendant is free to submit such stipulations.

Defendant's Motion to Strike Kathleen Guion From Plaintiff's Final Witness List is

GRANTED.

Defendant's Motion in Limine Concerning Dollar General's 2005 Investigation is

GRANTED.

Defendant's Objections to Plaintiff's Trial Witnesses is DENIED as to Michael Clem and

GRANTED as to Kathleen R. Guion. As to Michael Clem, Defendant is free to raise objections

to the relevance of his testimony at trial. Further, the Court DENIES Defendant's Objections as

to Cheryl Rusnak and Vince Boldin, so long as Plaintiff provides Defendant with these

witnesses' addresses and makes these witnesses available for deposition prior to their testimony

at trial.1

Defendant's Motion for Leave to File a Motion in Limine Concerning Plaintiff's

Mitigation of Damages is DENIED. In a simple employment case, Defendant has already filed

more motions in limine than this Court has ever seen. Defendant is free to raise objections at

trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Susan J. Dlott

Susan J. Dlott

United States District Judge

¹ Plaintiffs did not identify Cheryl Rusnak and Vince Boldin as trial witnesses until October 26, 2006. However, Plaintiffs have substantially complied with the Court's Final Pretrial Order (doc. 69), which states that "[i]n the event other witnesses are to be called at the trial, a statement of their names and addresses and the general subject matter of their testimony will be served upon opposing counsel and filed with the Court at least six business days prior to trial."

2